Apr 15 1940

SEMI-MONTHLY REPORT OF MAJOR OPERATING STATISTICS

WAGE AND HOUR DIVISION

APRIL 15, 1940

Prepared by
Research and Statistics Branch
Wage and Hour Division
April 27, 1940

### TABLE OF CONTENTS

	Page	m
Analysis of Operations of the Wage and Hour Division April 1-15 .	. 1	
Summary of Enforcement	. 9	
Summary of Litigation	. 13	
Summary of Exemptions	. 15	
Status of Enforcement by Regions	. 18	
Status of Litigation by Regions	. 26	

#### ANALYSIS OF THE OPERATIONS OF THE WAGE AND HOUR DIVISION April 1, 1940 to April 15, 1940

This report contains a statistical summary of operations of the Wage and Hour Division and covers data for the semi-monthly period April 1 to April 15, 1940, as well as cumulative data from the inception of the Act through April 15th. The volume of complaint case inspections required, inspection activity, data on completed cases, and data on legal action required to further the enforcement program are presented herein. Also presented in this report are data relating to the applications for special certificates for the employment of handicapped workers and learners at rates below the statutory minimum prescribed by the Act.

It is important in considering the data covering enforcement to remember that the figures presented herein are limited to "complaint" case inspections only. The expression of the number of "establishments requiring inspection" does not include those establishments employing covered workers which will be inspected on a "routine" basis. Rough estimates, conservatively, place this figure to be 250,000 establishments. Because it is impossible with the present limited staff of inspectors to investigate all of the establishments employing covered workers on a routine basis at this time, the Division is concentrating inspections upon those complaints which allege especially flagrant and willful violations.

The following table presents a brief consolidated summary of the more salient factors embodied in the operating statistics presented in this report. These data are as of April 15, 1940.

Ite	em		Number or Amount
Work Load			
	ceived s complained against s requiring inspection		47,532 35,664
based on co			26,281
Ţ	pending completion In process Pending assignment	4,728 17,840	22,568
Accomplishment			
"Complaint" o	case inspections reported	i	3,713
Cases on which	ch final action has been Closed by settlement Closed-No violation Dropped	taken 1,785 422 238	2,445
Restitution			
	in cases settled "in ful eceiving back payments	11"	\$1,238,798. 61,832
Litigation			
Actions inst	cituted Criminal Civil	87 211	298
Actions clos	sed		250
	Criminal Civil	53 197	
Actions pend	ling court decision Criminal	34	48
/ 8	Civil	14	
Exemptions			
Certificates	granted to handicapped	workers	3,477
	nts receiving certificate	es to	-

#### ENFORCEMENT

Based on the analysis of complaints and a consolidation of multiple complaints into single case units, out of the 47,532 complaints filed to date, complaint case inspections of 26,281 establishments were required. Of these, 4,238 or more than 16 per cent were in Region II, covering the States of New York and Connecticut. Region XI (headquarters at Chicago) with 3,164 cases accounted for more than 12 per cent of the total work load. The states in Region XIII accounted for 8 per cent. These three regions combined represent over 35 per cent of the total complaint inspection load for the country.

Inspections have been completed in 3,713 cases, or slightly over 14 per cent of the total number required. The ratio of inspections completed to the total requiring inspection ranged, among the different regions, from as low as 8.5 per cent in Region II (headquarters at New York) to as high as 25 per cent in Region XII (Minneapolis). The wide variance between these two regions is due to the fact that New York has the greatest work load, whereas Minneapolis has the smallest, requiring the inspection of less than 1,000 establishments.

There are 22,568 establishments for which inspections were pending completion on April 15, 1940. Of these, 4,728 were in process of inspection and 17,840 were pending assignment to the inspectors. As of that date the average number of inspections in process per inspector was 17. The average number of inspections pending assignment per inspector was 64.

Inspectors completed 290 case inspections during the semi-monthly period. However, during the period 1,081 additional complaint case in-

spections were added to the work load. Thus, at the end of the period the Division had 791 more cases to be inspected than it had at the end of the preceding period.

From April 1st to April 15th, there were 277 inspectors on active duty. These inspectors submitted an average of one complete inspection report each for the 15-day period as compared with 1.2 inspection reports each submitted by 263 inspectors on active duty during the preceding half month period.

Of the 2,445 cases on which final action has been taken to date, 2,207 or slightly over 90 per cent were "closed", and 238 or almost 10 per cent were "dropped". The proportion of cases dropped to total cases finally acted on varies from as low as less than two per cent for Region XI (headquarters at Chicago) to as high as 28 per cent for Region VI (headquarters at Charlotte, N. C.). Region VIII (Birmingham, Ala.), Region XIV (Dallas, Tex.) and Region VII (Atlanta, Ga.) have dropped 24, 19, and 18 per cent, respectively, of all the cases on which final action was taken by them.

Region XI (Chicago) superceded Region XIII (Kansas City) as the region accounting for the greatest number of cases on which final action had been taken during the period April 1 to April 15. This office accounted for 45 of the 303 cases finally acted on during this period. Region II (New York) ranked second, accounting for 38; and Region VIII (Birmingham) ranked third, accounting for 37.

Of the 303 cases on which final action was taken during the period, 203 were closed by settlement. Approximately \$255,000 was paid to 15,633

employees during the half month period. To date, payment has been made in full to 61,832 employees in the amount of \$1,238,798.51. These data do not include the number of employees or the amounts where payments are being made on the instalment plan. The current average amount of restitution per case is \$694.00, and the average amount per employee is \$20.03. Region II (New York) accounted for over \$151,000 of the \$255,000 of restitution secured during the period. In this region the average amount of restitution per case is almost double the average of the country. In Region VII (Atlanta) the average amount of restitution per case is \$2,050 indicating settlements of substantially large cases. The average amount of restitution per case in each region fluctuates and is dependent upon the size of the cases which are settled during the particular report period.

#### LITIGATION

The object of inspections is to determine whether violations exist. Where the violation is minor and unintentional, the Division accepts settlements providing for future compliance and restitution of any back wages which may be due. Where the violation is serious or intentional, two courses of action are open to the Division. Under Section 17 it may institute civil suits to restrain future violations, or it may refer the case to the Department of Justice for criminal prosecution under Section 16 of the Act.

Twenty-five cases were added to the number on which legal action has been instituted by the legal staff, bringing the total of such cases to 298. Of this number, 249 have been completed, and 48 are pending action

in courts. Of the number of cases on which legal action has been completed, 197 were by civil action and the remaining 53 by criminal action. Regions I, II, VI and XI account for a substantial proportion of the total number of cases litigated. Region I (headquarters at Boston) is particularly outstanding in the proportion of criminal actions completed to the total of such actions for the country accounting for 15 out of 53 such cases.

There were 34 criminal actions pending and 14 civil suits pending as of the end of this report period.

#### **EXEMPTIONS**

Section 14 of the Fair Labor Standards Act provides that the Administrator, to the extent necessary in order to prevent curtailment of opportunities for employment, shall by regulations or orders provide for the employment of learners, apprentices, and messengers, and individuals whose earning capacity is impaired by age or physical or mental deficiency or injury, under special certificates issued by him.

These operating statistics are limited to data relating to applications for certificates by handicapped workers and by establishments wishing to employ learners. Since the statutory minimum of 30 cents an hour is low in relation to typical beginner's wages in the highly skilled apprenticeable trades, the number of such certificates are relatively few and do not lend themselves to recurrent reporting. For this reason data on apprentice certificates are not included in this semi-monthly report. Data on exemptions due to seasonality are also omitted from this report

because they are not readily statistically reportable.

During this report period 103 certificates were granted to handicapped workers. The number of outstanding certificates granted to date is 3,477 and represents 57 per cent of all outstanding certificates granted or denied. During this same period, while seven denials were issued, 22 denials issued previously were reversed and certificates granted. Thus the number of denials outstanding was reduced by 15 to the present total of 2,622. While the 2,622 certificates denied represent 43 per cent of the total outstanding certificates granted or denied, the proportion was originally much higher since 613 denials or 24 per cent of the total denials issued have been reversed. To date only 13 certificates or less than one-half of one per cent of the total certificates granted have been cancelled. In addition 69 certificates and 465 denials have been sustained upon reconsideration. In 18 cases the rate of pay in the original certificate has been increased, and in 43 it has been decreased.

From these facts it is evident that the use of the number of outstanding certificates or denials as a measure of the work of the Handicapped Workers' Unit does not adequately portray the volume of work actually handled by this Unit. Reconsiderations and reversals result in multiple handling of many of the applications.

The data on learners' applications presented in this report are limited to the number of establishments for which learners' certificates have been applied for, granted or denied. In the case of learners' certificates, limitation as to the time, number, proportion and length of

service, as well as the wages at which they may be employed are conditions of the certificate. Certificates may be granted either to take care of normal labor turnover, or as a result of the expansion of a plant, and in a few instances because of the establishment of a new plant. Thus, in many instances, more than one certificate may be issued to the same establishment.

The limited data presented in this report on learners' certificates, as in the case of handicapped workers, do not reflect the many actions that may be taken on the applications during the process of reconsideration, etc.

#### ENFORCEMENT

***************************************				
***************************************		Item	Additional This Half Month	Cumulative Total
A.		lishments Against Which Complaints Been Filed		
	1.	Total establishments complained against	1,359	35,664
	2.	Establishments for which in- spections have been required 1/ Number Per cent of total	1,081	26,281 73.6%
	3,	Establishments not requiring inspection 2/ Number Per cent of total	194	6,188 17.4%
	4.	Establishments on which decision on inspection is pending 3/ Number Per cent of total	84	3,195 9.0%

<sup>1/</sup> Establishments require inspection when complaints filed against them are analysed "violation indicated".

<sup>2/</sup> Establishments are considered not to require inspection when the complaints filed agents them are analyzed "no violation".

<sup>3/</sup> Establishments on which decision on inspection is pending are those against which complaints have been filed which have not been finally classified.

#### ENFORCEMENT

		Item	Additional This Half Month	Cumulative Total
В.	distribution of the contract o	s of Inspections Required d on Complaints)		
	1.	Inspections required (Same as A-2)	1,081	26,281
	2.	Inspections completed 1/ Number Per cent of total required	290	3,713 14.1%
	3.	Inspections pending completion Number Per cent of total required	791	22,568 85.9%
·		a. Inspections in process 2/ Number Per cent of total required Per cent of total pending completion	223	4,728 18.0% 21.0%
		b. Inspections pending assignment Number Per cent of total required Per cent of total pending completion	568	17,840 67.9% 79.0%

An inspection is now considered completed when the report on the inspection is transmitted to Washington. Upon revision of the semi-monthly report, an inspection will be considered completed when the inspector turns in his case report to the supervising inspector for review.

<sup>2/</sup> These data include inspection assignments on cases which have not yet become "active inspections".

#### ENFORCEMENT

		Item	Additional This Half Month	Cumulative Total
c.	Statu	s of Inspections Reported to Washington		
	1.	Total inspections reported (Same as B-2)	290	3,713
	2.	Cases on which final action has been take Number Per cent of total reported	n <u>303</u>	2,445
	3.	Cases in process of legal action Number Per cent of total reported	. <u>-1</u> a/	48 1.3%
	4.	Cases in process of further inspection Number Per cent of total reported	<u>-29</u> b/	852 22.9%
	5.	Cases under review by Legal Branch and Department of Justice Number Per cent of total reported	<u>-12 c/</u>	124 3.3%
	6.	Cases under review by Central Office or i transmission between Washington and Field Number Per cent of total reported		244 6.6%

a/ During this half month legal action was instituted on 25 cases and legal action was completed on 26 thus reducing its number of cases pending court decision by one.

b/ During this half month 39 cases were assigned for further inspection and additional inspection required was completed on 68 thus reducing the number in process by 29.

c/ During this half month 13 cases were reforred to the Legal Branch and Department of Justice and legal action was taken on 25 thus reducing the number under consideration by 12.

#### ENFORCEMENT

		Item	Addition This Half Mon	Cumulative
D.		On Which Final Action Has Been Taken ified By Type of Action Taken		
	1.	Total on which final action has been taken (Same as C-2)	303	2,445
	2.	Closed Number Per cent of total on which final action has been taken	271	2,207 90.3%
		a. Closed by settlement Number Per cent of total on which final action has been taken Per cent of total closed	203	1,785 73.0% 80.9%
		b. Closed because no violation was found Number Per cent of total on which final action has been taken Per cent of total closed	68	422 17.3% 19.1%
	3.	Dropped Number Per cent of total on which final action has been taken	32	238 9.7%
E.		ry of Restitution Made and Number of yees Receiving Restitution 1/		
	1.	Number of cases closed by settlement (Same As D-2a)	203	1,785
	2.	Employees receiving back payment	15,633	61,832
	3.	Amount of restitution	\$254,077.84	\$1,238,798.51
	4.	Average amount of restitution per case		<i>6</i> 94.00
en -4	5.	Average amount of restitution per employee		\$ <u>20.03</u>

Based on best data available. There is no complete record of the restitution made in cases closed during the early period of enforcement. Data on restitution are limited to actual payments of back wages on closed cases.

a/ Cumulative total for Region III revised by field office reports.

(4218)

### LITIGATION

			Additional	
		Item	This Half Month	Cumulative Total
A.		s of Cases Referred to Legal Branch for cement Proceedings		
	1.	Total	13	606
	2.	Total acted on:	30	530
		<ul><li>a. Cases referred to Department of Justice (B-1 minus B-5)</li></ul>	_7	135
		b. Civil actions instituted	23	211
		c. Cases returned to Cooperation and Inspection Branch	_ 0	184
	3•	Under consideration		
В.		s of Cases Referred to Department of Jus- gal Branch	tice	
	1.	Total	7	151
	2.	Criminal actions instituted	2	87
		<ul><li>a. By information</li><li>b. By indictment</li></ul>	20	29 58
	3.	Criminal actions closed	3	_53
		<ul> <li>a. Defendant plead guilty</li> <li>b. Defendant found guilty (after tri</li> <li>c. Defendant acquitted (after trial)</li> <li>d. Fines imposed (approximate) <ul> <li>(1) Collected</li> <li>(2) Suspended</li> </ul> </li> </ul>	and the same	\$277,600 \$145,250 \$132,350
	4.	Criminal actions pending		34
	5.	Number of cases returned to Legal Branc by Department of Justice	h 	_16
	6.	Number of cases under consideration by Department of Justice		48

#### LITIGATION

		Item	Additional This Half Month	Cumulative Total
C.	Statu Branc	s of Civil Actions Instituted by Legal		
	1.	Total (Same as A-2b)	23	211
	2.	Cases closed (in district courts)	23	197
		a. Injunction granted (1) Contested (2) Uncontested (consent degree)	23 0 23	195 a/ 195
		b. Injunction denied	0	2 5/
	3.	Cases pending (in district courts)		14
D.	Ratio Made	of Legal Action Instituted to Inspections	_	
	1.	Inspections completed	290	3713
	2.	Cases on which legal action has been instituted	<u>25</u>	298
		<ul> <li>a. Criminal actions instituted</li> <li>(Same as B-2)</li> <li>b. Civil actions instituted</li> <li>(Same as C-1)</li> </ul>	<u>2</u> 23	<u>87</u> 211
	3.	Ratio of leggl actions instituted to inspections completed		8.0%

a/ Includes one case in which two consent decrees were entered.

b/ One of the cases in which an injunction was denied is pending appeal.

### EXEMPTIONS HANDICAPPED WORKERS' APPLICATIONS

waarusakeee Walauf da eepye ge	Item	Additional This Half Month	Cumulative Total
. Handi	capped Certificates Granted and Denials Iss		
8.	Total certificates granted Total certificates granted as a percentag of certificates granted and denials issue		3,477 57.0%
	Certificates granted on original applicati as a percentage of certificates granted	on	78.3%
ъ.	Total denials issued Total denials as a percentage of certific granted and denials issued	ates —15 a	2,622 43.0%
	Denials issued on original application as percentage of total denials issued	&	123.4%
c.	Certificate rate increased  Number  Per cent of total certificates granted	0	18 0.5%
d.	Certificate rate decreased Number Per cent of total certificates granted	0	43 1.2%
€.	Certificates cancelled Number Per cent of total certificates granted	0	13 0.4%
. Summa	ry of Actions Taken		
I. (	m Original Applications		
***	. Applications pending at beginning of per	iod 149	*
t	. Received	89	7,256
C	<ul> <li>Acted on (including applications suspend Number Percentage of total received</li> </ul>	ed) <u>133</u>	7,151 <u>98.6</u> %
đ	. Applications examined and suspended for further information	_53	
€	. Applications pending at end of period Number Per cent of total received	***	105 1.4%

During this half month 7 denicls were issued and 22 denials previously issued were reversed and certificates granted, thus reducing the number of denials outstanding by 15.

## EXEMPTIONS HANDICAPPED WORKERS' APPLICATIONS

	1	item	Additional This Half Month	Cumulative Total
II.	<u>On</u>	Requests for Reconsideration		
	a.	Requests pending at beginning of period	93	
	b.	Received	128	1,477
	c.	Acted on (including requests suspended) Number Percent of total received	61	$\frac{1.317}{89.2\%}$
	d.	Requests examined and suspended for further information	14	
	е.	Requests pending at end of period Number Percent of total received	·	160 10.8%

## EXEMPTIONS LEARNERS' APPLICATIONS

	[tem	Additional This Half Month	Cumulative Total a/
C. Status	s of Applications Received		
1.	Received	36	1,825
2.	Total acted on Number Per cent of total received	60	1,692 92.7%
3.	Applications withdrawn Number Per cent	0	16 0.9%
4.	Number Per cent of total received a. Suspended for industry hear or finding Number	rings	117 6.4%
	Per cent of total received  b. Pending consideration  Number  Per cent of total received		0.9% 102 5.5%
	s of Applications on which Final Acti	ion	
1.	Total (Same as C-2)	60	1,692
2.	Certificates issued Number Per cent of total acted on	31	1,267 74.9%
3.	Applications denied Number Per cent of total acted on	29	417 24.6%
4.	Applications cancelled Number Per cent of total acted on	0	<u>8</u> 0.5%
E. Denia	ls Reversed  Number  Per cent of total acted on (line	e M-2) 4	65 5.1%

a/ Cumulative totals for sections C, D, and E revised.

TABLE 1: ENFORCEMENT: ESTABLISHMENTS COMPLITHED AGLINST AND INSPECTIONS REQUIRED April 15, 1940 BY REGIONS

Regions	Establ	Establishments complained against	cplained		Establishments for which inspection required	. which
and Regional Offices	April 1—15	Total	al pril 15	April	Through April	al pr <b>i</b> l 15
	Mumber	Mumber		Number	Munber	
(a)	(q)	(0)	(p)	(e)	(2)	(8)
TOTAL-ALL REGIONS	1,359	35,664	100.0	1,081	. 26, 281	100.0
I Boston, Mass.	86	1,772	5.0	80	1,388	5,3
II New York, N. Y.	165	5,917	16.6	797	4,238	16.2
III Philadelphia, Pa.	80	2,655	7.4	59	1,767	6.7
IV Newark, N. J.	ŧ	1,651	<b>4</b> .6	41	1,177	ų,
V Richmond, Va.	35	2,107	5.0	35	1,502	5.7
VI Charlotte, M. C.	53	1,302	7.0	4	924	ស្ន
VII Atlanta, Ga.	\$	2,080	<b>5</b> .8	81	1,964	7.5
VIII Birmingham, Ala.	94	1,870	5.2	75	1,582	0•9
IX Mashville, Tenn.	9/	1,461	,-(	47	\$06 \$	3.4
X Cleveland, Ohio	5	2,320	6.5	36	1,750	6*7
XI Chicago, Ill.	234	4,600	12.9	141	3,164	12.1
XII Minnespolis, Minn.	46	745	N	1.4	538	2.0
XIII . Lansas City, Mo.	153	2,877	8.1	1.40	2,111	0.8
XIV Dallas, Texas	96	2,628	4.7	79	2,030	7.2
XV San Francisco, Calif.	85	1,679	4.7	23	1,242	4.7

TABLE 2: ENFORCEMENT: STATUS OF INSPECTIONS REQUIRED BASED ON COMPLAINTS
APRIL 15, 1940
BY REGIONS

4	11"	Inspections	Insp	Inspections		Inspections	Pending	Pending Completion	uo		
Hegions	5	Keduired	Con	Completed	TOTAL		In P	Process	Fending	Assignment	
and Regional Offices	Number	Fer Cent	Number	Per Cent of Tetal Required	Number	Per Cent of Total Required	Mumber	Number of Tetal Required	Number	Per Cent of Total Required	
(a)	(9)	(c)	(a)	(e)	(f)	(8)	(p)	(1)	(3)	(k)	
TOTAL-ALL REGIONS	26,281	100.0	3,713	12	22,568	85.9	4,728	18.0	17,840	67.9	
I Boston, Mass.	1,388	100.0	274	19.8	1,114	80.2	135	7.6	979	70.5	
II New York, N. Y.	4,238	100.0	359	ຜູ້	3,879	91.5	477	11.3	3,402	80.2	
III Philadelphia, Pa.	1,767	0.001	426	24.1	1,341	75.9	537	30.4	90	45.5	:
IV Newark, N. J.	1,177	100.0	246	20.9	166	79.1	66	7.9	838	71.2	l9 <b>-</b>
V Richmond, Va.	1,502	100.0	334	22.2	1,168	77.8	208	13.9	096	63.9	
VI Charlotte, N. C.	924	100.0	181	19.6	743	80.4	132	14.3	119	66.1	
VII Atlanta, Ga.	1,964	100.0	343	17.5	1,621	82.5	370	18.8	1,251	63.7	
VIII Birmingham, fla.	1,582	100.00	202	12.8	1,380	87.2	16	6.1	1,283	1.18	
IX Nashville, Tenn.	900	100.0	134	14.8	770	85.2	74	8.2	969	77.0	
X Cleweland, Ohio	1,750	100.0	276	15.8	1,474	84.2	451	25.8	1,023	58.	
XI Chicago, Ill.	3,164	100.0	265	4.6	5,899	91.6	1,021	32,3	1,878	59.3	
XII Minneapolis, Minn.	538	100.0	134	24.9	404	75.1	161	56.9	243	45.2	
XIII Kansas City, Mo.	2,111	100.0	219	10.4	1,892	89.6	568	26.9	1,324	62.7	
XIV Dallas, foxas	2,030	100.00	189	6.3	1,841	90.7	251	12,4	1,590	76.3	
XV San Francisco, Calif.	1,242	100.0	131	10.5	1,111	89.5	153	12.3	88	77.2	
			*								

EMPORCEMENT: INSPECTIONS REGULATED ND COMPLETED
AND COMPLETION OF INSPECTIONS PENDING COMPLETION ALRCH 31, 1940
WITH INSPECTIONS PENDING COMPLETION MARCH 31, 1940
BY REGIONS TABLE 3:

	g rch 31	Per Cent	(1)	3.6	<b>6.3</b>	3.6	1.7	3.2	20 -	5.1	ហ្ម	4.2	4.2	1.7	4.3	1.8	6.5	3*0	
g Completion	Net Change April 15 from Larch		(q)	+ 791 + 3	99 +	+ 136 + 3	+ 22 + 1	+ 3	+ 10 +	+ 36 + 5	4 70 + 4.5	+ 26	4 + 31 + +	+ 255 +	+ 119 + 4	+ 7 + 1	+ 116 + 6	53 + 3	
Inspections Pending Completion	Total Through Mar. 31	Munber	(8)	21,777	1,048	3,743	1,319	305	1,158	707	1,551	1,324	739	1,449	2,780	397	1,776	1,788	
Inspe	Total Through	Number	(£)	22,568	1,114	3,879	1,341	166	1,168	743	1,621	1,380	770	1,474	2,899	40	1,892	1,841	•
Inspections Completed	Total Through	Number	(2)	3,713	274	359	426	246	334	181	343	202	13%	276	265	134	27.9	189	_
Inspe	Aprí1 1—15	Number	(d)	580	14	ぼ	37	12	53	ω	ជ	38	16	Ħ	22	۲	54	92	-
fons	Total Through Apr. 15	Number	(o)	26,281	1,388	4,238	1,767	1,177	1,502	924	1,964	1,582	8	1,750	3,164	538	2,111	2,030	
Inspections Required	April 1—15	Mumber	(q)	1,081	80	167	59	Ţ.	35	4	Te e	56	4	36	141	77	140	79	
	Regions and Regional Offices	de la company	(a)	TOTAL ALL REGIONS	I Boston, Mass.	II New York, N. Y.	III Philadelphia, Pa.	IV Newark, N. J.	V Richmond, Va.	VI Charlotte, N. C.	VII Atlanta, Ga.	VIII Blimingham, Ala.	IX Mashville, Tenn.	X Cleveland, Ohio	XI Chicago, Ill.	XII Winneapolis, Minn.	XIII Kansas City, Mo.	XIV Dallas, Texas	

TABLE 4: ENFORCEMENT: INSPECTIONS REQUIRED AND COMPLETED APRIL 1-15, 1940
COMPARED WITH INSPECTIONS REQUIRED AND COMPLETED MARCH 16 - 31, 1940

										**	21 -	-								
The control of the co		Net	A TOTAL CONTRACTOR OF THE PARTY	, (1)	17	80 1	or <del>i</del>	+14	-23	+12	~	r~! \$-	+14	<b>*</b>	^ ,	•	~ 1	242	01+	N 1
Table and the second se	Inspections Completed	Marroh 16-31		(¥)	317	22	21	23	35	E	Ø	o	24	On.	18	22	ᅿ	99	16	ន
	ा	44		(8)	230	47	ri E	37	2	32	ø	7	38	16	Ħ	22	7	24	56	Ø
	Number	Total Through	Apr 11 15	( <del>‡</del> )	3,713	274	359	426	246	334	181	343	202	138	276	592	134	219	189	e e
BY REGIONS	red	Not Change		(æ)	-202	<b>+</b> 19	-170	<u>क</u> ।	+ 27	7	н +	- 28	+ 53	+ 33	- 28	o 1	- 22	33	N	1.73
BY	Inspections Required	Maroh 16-31		(a)	1,283	79.	337	66	7.	37	43	109	4	77	\$	051	98	107	ಕ	98
	1	1	***************************************	(0)	1,081	80	167	26	47	35	44	81	25	47	36	141	3.4	140	79	23
A CONTRACTOR OF THE PROPERTY O	1 1	Total Through	April 15	(q)	26,281	1,388	4,238	1,767	1,177	1,502	924	1,964	1,582	8	1,750	3,164	538	2,111	2,030	1,242
		Regions and Regional Offices		(a)	TOTAL-ALL REGIONS	I Boston, Mass.	II New York, N. Y.	III Philadelphia, Pa.	IV Newark, N. J.	V Richmond, Va.	VI Charlotte, N. C.	VII Atlanta, Ga.	VIII Birmingham, Ala.	IX Nashville, Tenn.	X Cleveland, Ohio	XI Chicago, Ill.	XII Minneapolis, Minn.	XIII Kansas City, Mo.	XIV Dallas, Texas	XV San Francisco, Cal.

. (

(4218)

TABLE 5: EXPORCEMENT: CASES ON WHICH FINAL ACTION HAS BEEN BLESS OF ACTION April 15, 1940
BY REGIONS

	Total Cas	Total Cases		O	ASES	CPO	Q Z S		<u>ت</u>	Cases
Regions and Regional Offices	Final Action Has Been Take	otion Taken	To.	Total	Sed	Closed By Settlement	Closed- Violation	Closed—No Slation Found	Ä	Dropped
	Num	Per	Num	Per	Num.	Per	N.	Per	Num	Per
(a)	(9)	(0)	(a)	(e)	<b>E</b>	(g)	(H)	(1)	(?)	(F)
TOTAL_ALL REGIONS	2,445	100.0	2,207	က္	1,785	73.0	422	17.3	238	2.6
I Boston, Mass.	163	100.0	85	97.0	138	84.7	8	12.3	Ŋ	3.0
II New York, N. Y.	248	100.0	229	92.3	176	70.9	23	21.4	55	nn.
III Philadelphia, Pa.	298	100.0	269	8	523	76.9	8	13,4	83	2.6
IV Newark, N. J.	167	100.0	159	95.2	113	67.7	46	27.5	8	4 &
V Richmond, Va.	225	100.0	202	8.68	181	80.5	ช	6.3	23	10.2
VI Charlotte, N. C.	72	100.0	25	72.2	£	43.0	7	2.62	83	27.8
VII Atlanta, Ga.	86	0,001	8	81.6	SS	56.1	52	25.5	33	18.4
VIII Birmingham, Ala.	139	100.0	105	75.5	K	51.1	34	24.4	*	24.5
IX Nashville, Tenn.	62	100.0	8	9 <b>6.</b> 8	42	75.8	ដ	21.0	~	3.2
X Cleveland, Chio	203	100.0	192	94.6	169	83,3	23	т.	ជ	5.4
XI Chicago, Ill.	219	100.0	215	2.86	75	74.9	ជ	23.3	4	1.8
XII hünneapolis, Minn.	35	100.0	8	87.0	77	77.2	Ø,	Ø. 6	21	13.0
XIII Kansas City, Mo.	233	100.0	219	94.0	219	94.0	0	0.0	14	0.9
XIV Dallas, Texas	166	100.0	134	80.7	88	53,0	<del>\$</del>	27.7	32	19.3
XV San Francisco, Calif.	8	100.0	33	88.3	en en	55.0	8	e e e	~	11.7

T.BLE O: ANYCHOTATE INCPECTIONS REGULFED IN CLASS ON WHICH PUBLE OF THE PARTY LIBERT LIBER 1940

	al Action	Net Change	(1)	7	9 1	o-	+ 7	756	6+	+ 5	<b>-</b> 4	OT+	r-1 +	,-4 .+	<sub>†</sub> 16	5 1	-37	+16	ri I
	hich Fin Tek en	March 16-31	(P)	310	27	53	24	36	57	N	7	27	'n	16	59	15	99	ង	m
K	ases On Which Has Been Tek en	April 1—15	(8)	803	15	38	31	97	24	4	ø	37	9	77	45	9	56	37	23
-15, 1940 LSES ON WHICH -31, 1940	Number of Cases On Which Final Lotion Has Been Taken	Total Through April 15	(£)	2,445	163	248	298	167	225	72	86	139	62	203	219	88	233	166	99
* TAKEN APRIL 1-15, REQUIRED : ND CASES ELKEN SARCE 16-31, REGIONS		<b>Net</b> Change	(e)	-205	<b>†</b> 19	-170	Z,	+ 27	ru I	rı +	- 28	<del>+</del> 53	+ 33	82 +	6	- 22	+ 33	2	- 73
BEIN TIKEN IONS REGUIR BEIN TIKEN BY REGIONS	sctions	Maroh 16—31	(a)	1,283	61	337	66	14	37	4	109	4,	14	64	0 F1	36	701	TE E	96
FINIT ACTION HAS BEEN TREE VITH INSPECTIONS FINEL ACTION HAS BEEN BY F	Number of Inspections Required	Aprt1 1—15	(0)	1,081	8	167	23	4	33	4	เล	94	47	36	141	14	140	79	23
FINIL SCHION HES BEEN TEKEN LPRIL 1-15, 1940 COMPLRED STHE INSPECTIONS REQUIRED SND CASES ON WE FINEL ACTION MAS BEEN TEKEN SERCE 16-31, 1940 BY RECIONS	Numbe	Total Terough April 15	(a)	26,281	1,388	4,238	1,767	1,177	1,502	924	1,964	1,582	904	1,750	3,164	538	2,111	2,030	1,242
	Regions	Regional Offices	(a)	TOTAL-ALL REGIONS	I Boston, Mass.	II New York, N. Y.	III Philadelphia, Pa.	IV Newark, N. J.	V Richmond, Va.	VI Charlette, N. C.	VII Atlanta, Ga.	VIII Birmingham, Ala.	IX Nashville, Tenn.	X Cleveland, Ohio	XI Chicago, Ill.	XII Minneapolis, Minn.	XIII Kansas City, Me.	XIV Dallas, Texas	XV San Francisco, Cal.

TABLE 7: ENFORCEMENT: INSPECTIONS REQUIRED AND CASES ON WHICH FINAL ACTION HAS BEEN THEN, AND CASES PENETRS FINAL ACTION APPLIA 15, 1940 WITH INSPECTIONS PENETRS FINAL ACTION MARCH 31, 1940 BY RECIONS

									•~	11 8 -	•									
	COLUMN TO THE PROPERTY OF THE	Net Change Apr.15 from Mar.31	Mumber Per Cent	(K)	13.4	9,5	+3,3	6.11	43.2	6.0	44.9	4.2	44,1	+5.2	+1,2	+3,4	+1.8	<b>+6.3</b>	+2.6	+1.8
	ing on	Net Lpr. 15 1	Mumber	(F)	+778	T 65	123	+ 28	TE+	4	40	+73	127	#	97	96+	4	7	+ 48	42
	Cases Pending Final Action	Total Through Mar. 31	Number	(1)	23,058	1,160	3,861	1,441	979	1,266	812	1,793	1,386	202	1,528	2,849	438	1,767	1,816	1,161
	ບົ່	Total Through Apr. 15	Number	(u)	23,836	1,225	ó66 <b>'</b> 8	1,469	1,010	1,277	852	1,866	1,443	842	1,547	2,945	974	1,878	1,864	1,182
	Final Taken	hrough 15	Per Cent	(音)	6.3	11.7	g. 9.	16.9	14.2	15.0	7.8	5.0	8.8	6.9	11.6	6.9	17.1	ু <b>.</b>	8.2	Ø.
	On Which Final Has Been Taken	Total Through April 15	Munber	(I)	2,445	163	248	238	797	225	72	86	139	29	503	573	35	233	166	8
	Cases	April 1—15	Number	(e)	303	15	38	31	10	24	<;	ග	37	9	17	45	9	83	TE	0
became and the second	m	Through 1 15	For Cent	(Ā)	0°001	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
***************************************	Inspections Required	Total T	Number	(0)	26,281	1,388	4,238	1,767	1,177	1,502	924	1,964	1,582	30	1,750	3,164	538	2,111	2,030	1,242
		i.pri.1 1—15	Munber	(q)	1,081	8	167	Š	4	32	4	18	8	47	36	141	\$	140	79	23
		Regions and Regional Offices		(a)	TOTAL-ALL RECIONS	I Boston, Mass.	II New York, M. Y.	III Philadelphia, Fa.	IV Newark, N. J.	V Richmond, Va.	VI Charlotte, N. C.	VII Atlanta, Ga.	VIII Birmingham, Ala.	IX Nashville, Tenn.	X Cleveland, Ohio	XI Chicago, Ill.	XII Minneapolis, Minn.	XIII Kansas City, Mo.	XIV Dallas, Texas	X San Francisco, Calif.

EMPORCEMENT: SUBJERT OF RESTITUTION SECURED
AND NUMBER OF PERSONS RECEIVING PARKENTS OF BLCE WAGES
APRIL 15, 1940
BY RECIONS TABLE 8:

ti-	e es		mli	0	Ċ.	ę,	اسغ	- 25 T		,-4	<b></b>	رم د	w	m	<b>*</b>	**	m	c	
Average Amount of Resti-	tution Per Employee		\$20.03	12.00	19.99	11.62	14.31	15,04	18,92	23.91	26.61	16.72	9.86	58.48	38.27	26.84	36.98	22.00	
hverage inount	of Resti- tution Per Case	(h)	\$ 694,00	585.93	1,232.52	287.46	335,49	91.099	446.85	2,049.63	1,335.15	784.77	480,39	1,389.61	408.05	334.16	472,37	546.08	
n <b>t</b> ution	Total Through Apr. 15	(B)	\$1,238,798.51	80,858,98	216,924,34	65,829,34	26,611,05	119,489.46	13,852,46	112,729.64	94,795,68	36,884.16	81,185,46	227,896,21	28,971.84	73,180,45	41,568,92	18,020.52	
Amount of Restitution	April 1—15	(\$)	\$254,077.84	4,424,65	151,514,14	12,461.84	1,005.99	7,080,92	1.65.58	4,550,39	13,477.17	12,592.71	14,429,06	7,420,49	4,263.04	3,090.17	16,297.73	1,303,96	
Number of Employees Receiving Payment of Back Weges	Total Through Apr. 15	(e)	61,832 a/	6,741	10,849	5,664 a/	1,860	7,943	732	4,715	3,562	2,206	6,236	3,897	757	2,727	1,124	819	
Number of R Receiving of Back	ûpril 1—15	(q)	15,633	270	7,392	1,323	76	252	67	169	348	575	4,270	337	123	744	286	34	
Cases By ent	Total Through Apr. 15	(o)	1,785	138	176	523	EI	181	te.	ις.	r r	47	169	164	נג	219	89	33	ion III
Number of Cases Closed By Settlement	April 1-15	(p)	203	15	31	77	o	21	m	ا د	æ	\$	7	27	IJ	24	¥	۲.	d for Region III
Resions and	\$ 90	(a)	TOTAL-/LL RECIONS	I Boston, Mass.	II New York, N. Y.	III Philadelphia, Pa.	IV Newark, N. J.	V Richmond, Va.	VI Charlotte, N. C.	VII Atlanta, Ga.	VIII Birminghem, Ala.	IX Mashville, Tenn.	X Cleveland, Ohio	XI Chicago, Ill.	XII Minneapolis, Minn.	XIII Kansas City, Mo.	XIV Dallas, Texas	XV San Francisco, Cali	a/ Cumilative total revised

Table 1: Littelition: Status of Casel on thich legal action has Been traph  $\underline{a}/$  april 15, 1940 BY Regions

Regions and	Number of Which Leg Had Been	Number of Cases on Which Legal Lotion Had Been Instituted		Number of Which Leg Has Been	Number of Cases on Which Legal Action Has Been Completed	-	Cases Pending
Regional Offices	April	Tetal Through	Tiady		Threugh April	15 Criminal	Decision April 15
(8)	je		- Table	(e)	AGCIONS (f)	AC 1 ORS	
TOTAL ALL REGIONS	25	297	92	249	A 361	万器	48
I Boston, Mass.	2	47	2	38	23	15	0
II New York, N. Y.	ς.	36	S	34	58	Ø	ર
III Philadelphia, Pa.	m	8	4	92	23	'n	ধ
IV Newark, N. J.	m	23	2	61	76	e	4
V Richmond, Va.	0	=======================================	O	25	Я	2	p-4
VI Charlotte, N. C.	m	%	m	56	25	4	4
VII Lilanta, Ga.	٥	23	0	E	N 01	m	Я
VIII Birmingham, Ala.	-4	15	н	14	<b>a</b>	3 6	н
IX Nashville, Tenn.	0	す	0	0	0	0	4
X Cleveland, Ohio	-1	97	Н	۲	^	0	m
XI Chicago, Ill.	ø	39	~	38	8	တ	<i>p</i> -1
XII Minneapolis, Minn.	0	~	0	Ø	Ø	0	н
XIII Kansas City, Mo.	0		0	ø	4 2	NI	
XIV Dallas, Texas	p-4	σ.	<del>-</del> -1	σ,	7	8	٥
XV San Francisco, Calif.	0	rd	0	-1	Н	0	0

TED	Ratio of Legal Lotion Instituted to Inspections Completed	(a)	0.8	17.2	10.9	7.0	er* 0	۵.* ۳	16.6	6.7	7.4	O*n	9*6	14.7	ν."υ	3*2	9 4	8*0
RATIO OF LEGGL LOTION INSTITUTED TO INSPECTIONS COMPLETED LIS, 1970 BY REGIONS	Cases On Which Legal Lotion Has Been Instituted	(0)	Fe 162	47	39	30	23	13	SE	23	15	¢.	10	39		^	Ġ.	pt
RATIO OF LEGAL ACTION INST PPT B	Inspections Completed	(b)	3,713	274	359	426	246	†÷£€	181	343	202	134	276	265	134	219	189	f. 131
TABLE 2: LITICATION:	Regiens and Regional Offices	(a)	TOTAL TAGIOUS	I Boston, Mass.	II New York, N. Y.	III Philadelphia, Pa.	IV Newark, N. J.	V Richmond, Va.	VI Charlotte, N. C.	VII. Atlanta, Ga.	VIII Birningham, Ala.	IX Nashville, Tenn.	X Cleveland, Ohio	XI Chicago, 111.	XII Minneapolis, Minn.	XIII Kansas City, Mo.	XIV Dallas, Texas	XV San Francisco, Calif.

a/ Exclusive of one civil suit in Puerto Rico.