

BI-WEEKLY REPORT OF MAJOR OPERATING STATISTICS

December 30, 1959

\*

RE AND HOWR DIVISION

#### BI-WEEKLY REPORT OF MAJOR OPER-TING STATISTICS December 30, 1939

i,

TABLE OF CONTLINTS

ì

A.	CEMENT Summary of Establishments Against Which Complaints Have Been Filed	
в.	Status of Case Investigations Required by Complaints Indicating	•
D +	Violations	
C.	Status of Cases Investigated and Reported to Washington	
D.	Case Investigations Completed by the Wage and Hour Division, Classified by Type of Action Taken	
E,	Summary of Restitution Made and Number of Employees Receiving Restitution.	
TIG	ATION	
F.	Status of Cases Referred to Legal Branch for Enforcement Proceedings	
G.	Status of Cases Referred to Department of Justice by Legal Branch	
н.	Status of Civil Actions Instituted by Legal Branch	
I.	Ratio of Legal Action Required to Inspections Made	
EMP	TIONS: HANDICAPPED WORKERS' APPLICATIONS	
J.	Status of Applications for Handicapped Workers' Certificates Received	
K.	Status of Applications On Which Final Action Has Been Taken.,	
L.	Requests for Reconsideration	
Μ.	Decisions After Reconsideration	
EMP	TIONS: LEARNERS' APPLICATIONS	
N.	Status of Applications for Learners' Certificates Received	
٥,	Status of Applications On Which Action Has Been Completed	
P.	Status of Cases Held for Reconsideration After Approval or Denial	

(3120)

- sk: - 1

۶

Page

#### TABLE OF CONTENTS - Continued

×

TABLES

		Page

	Table	<u>A</u> -1	:	Establishments Complained Against and Establishments Requiring Inspection By Complaints Found Valid, December 30, 1939, By Regions	10
•	Table	B-1	# #	Status Of Case Investigations Required, December 30, 1939, By Regions	11
	Table	C1	:	Status of Cass Investigated And Reported fo Washington, December 30, 1939, By Regions	12
	Table	D1	:	Investigations Required, Completed And Pending Completion, December 30, 1939, By Regions	13
	Table	D-1-2	a :	Investigations Completed, Classified By Type Of Action Taken, December 30, 1939, B y Regions	14
	Table	D-1-1	b:	Cases Closed By Settlement Classified By Method Of Closing, December 30, 1939, By Regions	15
	Table	D-1-0	:	Cases Dropped Classified By Reason For Dropping, December 30, 1939, By Regions	16
	Table	E-1	:	Analysis Of Restitution Secured And Number Of Persons Receiving Payments Of Back Wages, December 30, 1939, By Regions	17
	Table	F	:	Status Of Cases On Which Legal Action Has Been Taken, December 30, 1939, By Regions	18

#### BI-WEEKLY REPORT OF MAJOR OPERATING STATISTICS WAGE AND HOUR DIVISION December 30, 1939

#### INTRODUCTION

This bi-weekly report of major operating statistics covers the two week period from December 18 through December 30, 1939 inclusive, and presents salient information covering operations for the period. Cumulative data from the beginning of the Act through December 30, 1939 me also presented. Certain of the data on enforcement and litigation, which are presented in Sections A to I inclusive, are also presented in a series of appendix tables which show Regional as well as summary totals. Data on legal actions classified by regions appear in appendix Table F-1 as an innovation in this report. The tables in the appendix are numbered to correspond with the sections of the main report which they amplify.

During this period the field staff operated under an increased extension of authority. It now has the authority to close all investigated cases where the amount of restitution involved does not exceed \$50,000 and no legal action is required. This extension of authority marks the beginning of a new order of operations in the field after the first stages of decentralization. While the results of the extension of authority to close cases are not reflected in the present data it is anticipated that the number of completed cases will increase rapidly.

#### VOLUME OF WORK

There have been 1,005 establishments complained against during this reporting period. During the entire month there were 2,858 establishments complained against. This figure is 921 or 24 per cent less than the 3,779 establishments complained against during November but is 466 or 19 per cent more than the 2,392 establishments against which complaints were received during December 1938. The exceptionally large number of establishments complained against in November probably reflects the large number of firms who came under the provisions of the Act on October 24, 1939 but who had not yet complied with them. The increased number of establishments complained against this month in comparison with the number a year ago may possibly reflect the increase in werk due to the extended coverage under provisions of the Act.

i

Approximately one out of every five establishments complained against will not require inspection. This is estimated on the basis of the results of analysis of complaints. As of December 30, 1939 there have been 27,137 establishments complained against and 18,285 of them have been found to require investigation upon analysis of the complaints.

#### STATUS OF INVESTIGATIONS

Of the 18,285 establishments requiring investigation 4,933 or 27 per cent have been assigned for investigation, and 13,352 cases or 73 per cent are pending assignment. Cases have been investigated and reports on the investigations submitted to Washington for 2,302 cases or almost 13 per cent of the total requiring inspection. There remains 2,631 cases or slightly over 14 per cent which are now in the process of active investigation.

A total of 109 reports of investigations were forwarded to Washington during the bi-weekly period making a total of 282 received during the month of December. This represents an increase of seven per cent (19 cases) over the 263 forwarded to Washington by the field staff in November and an increase of 18 per cent (42 cases) over the 240 cases submitted in October.

Of the 2,302 cases investigated and reported to Washington, 1,004 or 43.6 per cent are completed and 1,298 or 65.4 per cent require further action. With the extension of authority to the field staff, described above, the proportion of cases reported to Washington which are completed will increase rapidly. In the near future it is also likely that the cases requiring further action after reports have been received in the Central Office will be those requiring legal action.

#### CASES COMPLETED

There were 63 cases completed during the bi-weekly period covered by this report and 152 cases completed during the month. This was an increase of 7.0 per cent (10 cases) over the 142 cases completed in November and an increase of 3.5 per cent (12 cases) over the 140 cases completed in October.

Of the 1,004 cases completed 656 or 65.3 per cent were closed by settlement and 348 or 34.7 per cent were dropped because the investigation disclosed no violation

or because the firm was not covered by the Act. Thus approximately two out of every three cases investigated disclosed a violation. Legal action was required in order to secure enforcement in 17.1 per cent of the cases disclosing violations or approximately in one out of every six cases.

#### RESTITUTION

During the last two weeks a total of \$152,991.93 in restitution was secured **For** 3,300 employees. The largest item of this amount was the payment of \$102,687.91 to 337 employees of the Hump Hairpin Company of Chicago as the result of a consent decree. Other large amounts included the payment of an additional \$20,000 to the employees of the J. H. Swisher Cigar Company of Florida and payment of \$14,000 to the employees of the Alaska Steamship Company in Chicago. In addition payment amounting to about \$250,000.00 is being made to the home workers employed by firms who are members of the Knitwear Handicraft Institute. These payments are being made as the result of a consent decree issued in November. Six of the eleven firms involved are in New York State and the other five are in other states. Information on the progress of these payments is not yet available.

The average amount of restitution per case has risen to \$649.46 and the average amount of restitution per employee has risen to \$18.88. The increase in the amount of restitution per case is an indication that some of the larger firms involving large number of employees are being reached.

#### LITIGATION

During the past two weeks, ten injunctions were obtained of which three were in North Carolina. There were 11 new civil actions instituted during this period, making a total of 84 such cases to date. Seventy-five of these cases have been completed, in all but two of which injunctions were granted. There were nine cases pending in district courts.

No additional cases were referred to the Department of Justice during this period. To date 107 cases have been referred to that Department. Criminal actions have been instituted in 64 cases, 48 of which were the result of indictments and 16 the result of the filing of informations. Defendants have plead guilty in 34 cases and have been fined a total of \$247,850, of which \$132,350 has been suspended subject to the

iii

(3120)

payment of back wages to employees. The remaining \$115,500 has been collected. There are 24 criminal actions pending.

#### HANDICAPPED WORKERS' APPLICATIONS

Applications have been submitted requesting special certificates for handicapped workers which would exempt these workers from receiving the minimum wage rate prescribed by the Act. Final action has been taken on 5,308 of these applications, 181 are pending consideration, and 868 are suspended awaiting the receipt of further information before final decision can be reached. During the two week period, 77 new applications were received, and 120 applications were finally acted on. A reduction in the number of applications suspended for further information took place during the two week period, and this figure is therefore presented in the report as minus 64 (-64) to indicate that this has occurred. To date there have been a total of 2,825 certificates granted and 2,441 applications denied.

#### LEARNERS APPLICATIONS

Learners' certificates are granted on a plant basis rather than to individuals, as is the case in handling handicapped workers' applications. Therefore, the number of applications received requesting special certificates to employ learners at less than the minimum shows a smaller figure than the number of handicapped workers' applications received. A total of 1,565 applications for learners' certificates have been received to date, of which action has been taken on 1,445. Certificates were issued in 1,149 instances or, in other words, in four out of every five applications acted on.

During the two week period, 61 new applications were received but because a reduction was made in the number of applications that were pending action, a total of 257 applications were acted on, 195 certificates were issued and 53 applications denied.

iv

#### BI-WEEKLY REPORT OF MAJOR OPERATING STATISTICS ENFORCEMENT December 30, 1939

	Iten	Past Two Weeks	Cumulative Total
	ry of Establishments Against Which Complaints Been Filed		
1.	Total establishments complained against	1,005	27,137
2.	Establishments requiring inspection 1/ Number Per cent of total	1,116	18,285 67.45
Э.	Establishments not requiring inspection 2/ Number Per cent of total	218	<u>4,258</u> <u>15.7</u> %
4.	Establishments on which decision on 3/ inspection is pending Number Per cent of total	-329	<u>4,594</u> 16.95
	as of Case Investigations Required by Complaints sating Violation		
1.	Total investigations required $\frac{4}{2}$ (Same as A-2)	1,116	18,285
	Total investigations required 4/ (Same as A-2) Cases investigated and reported to Washington Number Per cent of total required	1,116 109	18,285 2,302 12.05
2.	Cases investigated and reported to Washington Number	ar - The constant of the art	2,302

1/ Establishments require inspection when complaints filed agains' that are analyzed "violation indicated."

- 2/ Establishments are considered not to require inspection when the completness filed against them are analyzed "no violation".
- 3/ Ecvabli elements on which decision on inspection is pending are those against which complaints have been filed which have not been finally classified
- 4/ Transtigations based on presumably valid complaints only.

BI-MEERLY	REPORT	OF F	AJ OR	OPERATING	STATISTICS-Continued
	E	INFOF	CEME	NT	
	Dece	mbei	· 30,	1939	

• 2m	Item	Past Iwo Weeks	Cumulative Total
Annual Contention of Contentio	us of Cases Investigated and Reported to ington		
1.	Total investigated and reported (Same as B-2)	109	2,302
2,	Case investigations completed Number	63	1,004
	Per cent of total reported		43.6%
з.	Cases in process of legal action 1/ Number	_2	33
	Per cent of total reported		1.4%
4.	Cases in process of reinspection Number	<b></b> 56	718
	Per cent of total reported		31.2%
5.	Cases under review by Legal Branch and 2/ Department of Justice Number	4	157
	Per cent of total reported		6.95
6.	Cases under review by Central Office or in transmission between Washington and Field Number	_96	390
	Per cent of total reported		16.95

1/ Includes cases on which legal action has been instituted but on which the court has not rendered a decision.

 $\frac{2}{2}$  Includes cases being considered for legal action by the Legal Branch or Department of Justice.

(3120)

#### BI-WEEKLY REPORT OF MAJOR OPERATING STATISTICS-Continued ENFORCELENT December 30, 1939

<u>Divi</u> l.	Investigations Completed by the Wage and Hour sion Classified by Type of Action Taken Total completed (Same as C-2) Number Per cent of total investigations required (Item B-1) Closed by settlement Number Per cent of total completed a. Settlement secured by field staff Number Per cent of total completed Per cent of total closed by settlement b. Settlement secured by legal action 1/ Number Per cent of total completed	<u>63</u> <u>46</u> <u>36</u>	1,004 5,5% 656 65.3% 544 54.0% 82.9%
2.	Number Per cent of total investigations required (Item B-1) Closed by settlement Number Per cent of total completed a. Settlement secured by field staff Number Per cent of total completed Per cent of total closed by settlement b. Settlement secured by legal action 1/ Number	<u>46</u> <u>36</u>	656 65.3 544 54.0
	<pre>(Item B-1) Closed by settlement Number Per cent of total completed a. Settlement secured by field staff Number Per cent of total completed Per cent of total closed by settlement b. Settlement secured by legal action 1/ Number</pre>	36	65.3% 544 54.0%
	Number Per cent of total completed a. Settlement secured by field staff Number Per cent of total completed Per cent of total closed by settlement b. Settlement secured by legal action 1/ Number	36	65.3% 544 54.0%
з.	Per cent of total completed <ul> <li>a. Settlement secured by field staff</li> <li>Number</li> <li>Per cent of total completed</li> <li>Per cent of total closed by settlement</li> <li>b. Settlement secured by legal action 1/ Number</li> </ul>	36	65.3% 544 54.0%
з.	Number Per cent of total completed Per cent of total closed by settlement b. Settlement secured by legal action <u>1</u> / Number	5	54.0%
з.	Per cent of total closed by settlement b. Settlement secured by legal action <u>1</u> / Number		Townships with the second s
з.	b. Settlement secured by legal action $\frac{1}{2}$		82.9%
3.	Number	10	
з.	Per cent of total completed		112
з.		and a start and a first start spec	11.3%
з.	Per cent of total closed by settlement	÷	17.1%
	Dropped Number	17	348
	Per cent of total completed	an - A failing an angle and a day	34.75
	a. Because no violation was found Number	14	233
	Per cent of total completed		23.2%
	Per cent of total dropped		67.0%
	b. Because firm was not covered by act Number	З	115
	Per cent of total completed	mit gast mit find the first starter	11.5/
	Per cent of total dropped		33.0/
C. Summ	ary of Restitution Made and Number of Employees		
	iving Restitution 3/		
l.	Number of case investigations closed by settlement (Same as D-2)	46	656
2.	Employees receiving back payment	3,300	22,565
З.	Amount of restitution	152,991.93	\$426,048.43
4.	Average amount of restitution per case	and a second	<u>649.46</u>
5.	Average amount of restitution per employee		\$ 18.88

1/ Includes a number of cases in which restitution payment is in progress, but not yet completed.

2/ Based on best data available. There is no complete record of the restitution made in cases closed during the early period of enforcement.

3/ Revised figures.

ş,

#### BI-WEEKLY REPORT OF MAJOR OP\_RATING STATISTICS--Continued LITIGATION December 30, 1939

	Item	Past Two Weeks	Cumulative Total
	Cases Referred to Legal Branch for nt Proceedings 1/		
l. Tot	al	15	<u>47c</u>
2. Tot	al acted on:	11	335
8	. Cases referred to Department of Justice (G-1 minus G-5)	<u> </u>	100
ŀ	. Civil actions instituted $\frac{2}{}$	11	34
c	and Inspection Branch	0	171
3. Per	ding action at end of week	121	
1. Tot		<u> </u>	107
·	Branch 1/	ð	107
2. Cri	minal actions instituted	- 1890-1800 - 1840 - 1890-1840	64
	. By information . By indictment		<u>16</u> 48
3. Cri	minal actions closed		40
t c	<ul> <li>Defendant plead guilty</li> <li>Defendant found guilty (after trial)</li> <li>Defendant acquitted (after trial)</li> <li>Fines imposed (approximate) <ul> <li>(a) Collected</li> <li>(b) Suspended</li> </ul> </li> </ul>		40 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0
4. Cri	minal actions pending	24	
	nber of cases returned to Legal Branch Department of Justice	0	

\*,

1/ Figures revised by Legal Branch.
2/ Includes cases returned to Legal Branch by Department of Justice because not suitable for criminal action.

Page 5

BI-WEERLY	REPORT	of M	AJOR	OFERATING	STATISTICS-Continued
	LI	TIGA	TION	,	
	Dece	mber	30,	1030	

alle a star and a star an and	Item	Past Two Weeks	Cumulativ Total
<u>Statu</u> Branc	s of Civil Actions Instituted by Legal		
1.	Total (Same as F 2b)	11	84
2.	Cases closed (in district courts)	10	75
	a. Injunction granted (1) contested (2) uncontested (consent decree)	$\frac{10}{0}$	73 0 73
	b. Injunction denied	<u> </u>	2
З.	Cases pending (in district courts)	9	
4.	Cases pending on appeal from adverse decision	s	

I. <u>Ratio of Legal Action Required to Inspections</u> <u>Made</u>

1.	Inspection Reports submitted to central office (Same as C-1)	109	2,302
2.	Cases in litigation on which legal action has been instituted	1	148
	a. Criminal actions instituted (Same as G-2) b. Civil actions instituted (Same as H-1)	0	<u> </u>
3.	Ratio of cases in litigation to in spections reported		<b>6.4</b> %

#### BI-WEEKLY REPORT OF MAJOR OPERATING STATISTICS-Continued EXEMPTIONS HANDICAFFED WORKERS' APPLICATIONS December 30, 1939

		Item	Past Two Weeks	Cumulative Total
	And a second sec	is of Applications for Handicapped Workers		
	Certi	ficates Received		
	1.	Received	7777 	6,357
	2.	Total on which final action has been taken Number Per cent of total received	120	5,308
		Per cent of total received		83.5
	з.	Applications suspended for further information Number Per cent of total received	-64	<u>868</u> 13.65
	4.	Pending consideration Number Per cent of total received	21	181 2.8%
X.		as of Application on Which Final Action Been Taken Total on which final action has been taken (Same as J-2)	120	5,308
	2.	(Same as 5-2) Certificates granted or denied Number Per cent of total acted on	115	5,266 99.2%
		a. Certificates granted Number Per cent of total acted on Per cent of total granted or denied	129	2,825 53.25 53.62
		<ul> <li>b. Applications denied</li> <li>Number</li> <li>Per cent of total acted on</li> <li>Per cent of total granted or denied</li> </ul>	-14	2,441 46.05 46.45
	З.	Applications cancelled or withdrawn, or found to require no certificate Number Per cent of total acted on	5	42 0.8;

#### BI-WEEKLY REPORT OF MAJOR OPERATING STATISTICS--Continued EXEMPTIONS HANDICAPPED WORKERS' APPLICATIONS December 30, 1939

	Item	Past Two Veeks	Cumulative Total
L. <u>Req</u>	lests for Reconsideration		
1	Received Number	10	1,065
	Per cent of total applications granted or denied (Item K-2)	antronogangagan , a	20.25
2	. Total on which reconsideration has been completed Number	8	988
	Per cent of total received		92.7%
3	<ul> <li>Requests for reconsideration suspended for further information Number</li> </ul>	1	متومع
	Per cent of total received	en middaur r an r f Addancegeg	<u>73</u> <u>6.9</u> 5
4	Pending reconsideration Number	1	4
	Per cent of total received	gunissons, sannyy	0.4%
	<ul> <li>ision After Reconsideration</li> <li>Total on which reconsideration has been completed (Same as L-2)</li> </ul>	8	988
2	. Denials reversed (Certificates granted) Number	7	557
	Per cent of total reconsidered		56.5%
3	. Denials sustained Number Per cent of total reconsidered	G	<u> </u>
4	. Certificates cancelled Number	0	8
	Per cent of total reconsidered	per de la constante de la const	0.8%
5	. Certificates sustained Number	0	<u> </u>
	Per cent of total reconsidered		3.6%
6	• Certificate rate increased Number Per cent of total reconsidered	1	<u> </u>
-7	. Certificate rate decreased		
/	Number	0	37
	Per cent of total reconsidered		3.7%

#### BI-WEEXLY REPORT OF MAJOR OPENATING STATISTICS--Continued EXEMPTIONS LEARNERS' APPLICATIONS December 30, 1939

.

3

Item	Past Two Necks	Cumulative Total
. Status of Applications for Learners'		
Certificates Received		
l, Received	61	1,565
2. Total acted on Number Per cent of total	257	1,446 92.4;
3. Applications suspended awaiting industry hearings and findings Number	0	55
Per cent of total 4. Pending action Number	-196	<u>3,5</u> ;
Per cent of total	To design compare	4.1
). Status of Applications on Which Final Action Has Been Taken		
1. Total acted on (Same as N-2)	257	1,446
2. Certificates issued Number Per cent of total acted on	195	1,149 79.53
3. Applications denied Number Per cent of total acted on	53	<u>274</u> 18.95
<ol> <li>Applications cancelled (invalid or withdrawn) Number</li> <li>Per cent of total acted on</li> </ol>	9	23

Per cent of total acted on

1.6.

#### BI-WEEKLY RUPORT OF LAJOR OPERATING STATISTICS-Concluded EXAMPTIONS LEARNERS' APPLICATIONS December 30, 1939

.

n de lan agén y d'an agrèch sign i	Item	Past Two Weeks	Cumulative Total
Statu	us of Cases Held for Reconsideration		
After	r Approval or Denial		
1.	Held for reconsideration	<u> </u>	90
2.	Number	<u> </u>	81
	Per cent of total held for reconsideration		90.0%
3.	Number	0	9
	Per cent of total held for reconsideration		10;
Deci	sion After Reconsideration		
Deci:			81
	Total cases acted on (Same as R-2)	<u> </u>	<u>81</u> 26
1.	Total cases acted on (Samc as R-2) Certificates affirmed	ver-diseasering. J	- sanaganina mingganga géwapan minggangan
1.	Total cases acted on (Same as R-2) Certificates affirmed Number Per cent of total reconsidered Certificates reversed		26
1.	Total cases acted on (Same as R-2) Certificates affirmed Number Per cent of total reconsidered	ver-diseasering. J	26
1.	Total cases acted on (Same as R-2) Certificates affirmed Number Per cent of total reconsidered Certificates reversed Number Per cent of total reconsidered Denials affirmed	<u> </u>	$\frac{26}{32.1}$
1. 2. 3.	Total cases acted on (Same as R-2) Certificates affirmed Number Per cent of total reconsidered Certificates reversed Number Per cent of total reconsidered		<u>26</u> <u>32.1</u> ;;
1. 2. 3.	Total cases acted on (Same as R-2) Certificates affirmed Number Per cent of total reconsidered Certificates reversed Number Per cent of total reconsidered Denials affirmed Number Per cent of total reconsidered	<u> </u>	$\frac{26}{32.1;}$ $\frac{1}{1.2;}$ 16

TH TIANA

# ESTABLISHERNES COLFLAINED AGAINST AND ESTABLISHERNES RIQUIAING INVESTICATION BY COMPLATINE FOUND VALID December 30, 1939 BY REGIONS

Regions and Regional Offices	Total Establi Complained A	Total Establishmonts Complained Against	Estab) Requiring	Establishments Requiring Investigation
(1)	Number (2)	Per Cent (3)	Number (4)	Per Cent (5)
TOTAL-ALL REGIONS	27,137	100.0	13, 285	100*0
I Boston, Mass.	7,395	5.1	1,003	0. 0
II New York, N. Y.	4*430	16.6	2,501	15,8
III Philadelphia, Pa.	083 <b>'</b> T	7,3	1,254	0 <b>°</b> 0
IV Newark, N. J.	1,610	Q, Q	950	5.2
W Richmond, Va.	1,880	6.9	1,179	<b>6.</b> 4
VI Charlotte, N. C.	984	3 <b>.</b> 6	645	e L
VII Atlanta, Ga.	<b>I</b> ,635	6.0	1,275	7.0
VIII Birmingham, Ala.	1,501	5° 01	1,181	ó. 5
IX Mashville, Tenn.	071'T	4	718	ບາ ຕ
Z Cleveland, Ohio	1,600	6.2	1,173	6.4
III Chicago, Ill.	3,022	11.5	2,102	11.5
XII linneapolis, lämt.	456	£*1	306	1.7
XIII Kansas City, No.	2,234	8.2	1,368	7.5
XIV Dallas, Tex.	1,967	7.2	1,485	8.1
XW San Francisco, Cal.	1,104	4.1	745	4.1

Page 10

ŧ

TABLE B-1

STATUS OF CASE INVESTIGATIONS READINED December 30, 1939 BY REGIONS

Regional Offices	Total Case Investigations	Total Case Investigations Reguireà	Cases Inves Reported to	Cases Investigated and Reported to Hashington	Cases Coss of Ir	Cases in Pro-	Cases Pend ment for I	Cases Pending Assign- ment for Investigation
	Number	Per Cont	Number	Fer Cent	Number	Per Cent	Nubber	Per Cent
(1)	(5)	(£)	(4)	(5)	(७)	(2)	(8)	(6)
TOTAL-ALL REGIOUS	18,285	100.0	2,302	12.6	2,631	14.4	13, 352	73.0
I Boston, Mass.	1,003	100.0	193	19,2	67	6.7	743	74.1
II New York, N. Y.	2,901	100.0	224	7.7	249	8.6	2,428	83.7
III Philadelphia, Pa.	1,254	100.0	282	22.5	292	23.3	680	54.2
IV Newark, N. J.	950	100.0	154	16.2	69	7.3	127	76.5
V Richmond, Va.	1,179	100.0	224	19.0	35	7.2	870	73.8
VT Charlotte, N. C.	645	C.001	142	22,0	12	2.3	485	75.7
VII Atlanta, Ga.	1,275	100.0	296	23.2	EES	18.3	746	58.5
VIII Biminghan, Ala.	1,101	100.0	95	0*8	4	4.1	1,038	87,9
IX Nashville, Tenn.	718	0.001	8	7.0	17	2.4	159	90.6
X Cleveland, Ohio	L,173	100.0	162	13.8	196	16.7	815	69.5
XI Chicago, III.	2,102	100.0	121	5,8	379	18.0	1,602	76.2
XII Lünneapolis, hänn.	306	100.0	16	29.7	21	16.7	164	53.6
XIII Kansas City, No.	1,368	100.0	95	6*9	233	17.0	1,040	76.1
XIV Dallas, Tex.	1,485	100.0	124	8.4	137	N. G	1,224	82 <b>.</b> 4
XV San Francisco, Cal.	. 745	C.COL	40	6.6	560	75.1	136	18.3

Page 11

ar and an article of the second s

TABLE C-1

## ARD REPORTED TO FASTIGATED ARD REPORTED TO FASTIGATED December 30, 1939 FY RECIONS

Regions and	Total Cases and Reported	s Investigated 1 to Washington	Report Investigati	Reported Case Investigations Completed	Repo Investigations	Reported Case Investigations Pending Completion
Regional Offices	Number	Per Cent	Number	Per Cent	Number	Per Cent
(1)	(2)	(٤)	(4)	(5)	(9)	(2)
FOTAL-ALL REGIONS	2,302	100.0	1,004	43.6	1,298	<u> 36.4</u>
I Boston, Mass.	56T	100.0	87	45,1	106	54.9
II New York, N. Y.	224	100.0	108	48.2	116	51.8
III Philadelphia, Pa.	282	00.001	155	55.0	127	45.0
IV Newark, N. J.	154	100.0	64	51.3	R	48.7
V Richmond, Va.	224	100.0	103	46.0	121	54.0
VI Charlotte, N. C.	742	100.0	6e	27.5	103	72.5
VII Atlanta, Sa.	296	100.0	64	21.6	232	78.4
VIII Birmingham, üla.	95	100.0	29	30.5	66	59.5
IX Nashville, Tenn.	8	0.001	20	0.04	0E	60*09
X Cleveland, Ohio	162	0.001	68	54.9	5	45,1
XI Chicago, Ill.	21	100.0	20	41.3	и	58.7
XII Minncapolis, Minn.	16	100.0	36	42.9	52	1.72
XIII Kansas City, No.	95	100.0	83	29.5	67	2.5
XIV Dallas, Tex.	124	1.00.0	16	65*3	43	34.7
XV San Francisco, Cal.	Q4	100.0	ÊÊ	67,3	76	32.7

Page 12

I'D IIG.I

.

# INVESTIGATIONS REQUIRED: INVESTIGATIONS CONFLETED AND INVESTIGATIONS FEATING COLFLETION December 30, 1939 BY REGIONS

Ŕ	Regions and Regional Offices	Total Investiga- tions Required	vestiga <del>.</del> guired	Investigations Completed	estigations Completed	Investigations Pending Committion	cions Jetion
		Number (2)	For Cont (3)	Number (4)	Per Cent (5)	Number (6)	Fer Cent
	TOTAL ALL RECTORS	16,285	100,0	1,004	ດ. ເບ	17,281	<b>5</b> 20
н	I Boston, Mass.	1,003	100.0	87	8.7	916	£•16
Ħ	II New York, N. Y.	106*2	100.0	108	3.7	2,793	6 <b>°</b> 3
III	Philadelphia, Pa.	1,254	100.0	155	12.4	1,099	87.6
Ŋ	IV Newark, N. J.	950	100.0	79	8 <b>.</b> 3	871	1.16
Δ	Richmond, Va.	1,179	100.0	EOT	8.7	1,076	6 <sup>•</sup> 16
ы	Charlotte, N. C.	645	100.0	66	6 <b>.</b> 0	606	94.0
ΛII	Atlanta, Ga.	1,275	100.0	64	5.0	1,211	95.0
TIIV	Birmingham, 11a.	1,181	100.0	29	2.5	1,152	97.5
Ħ	IX Nashville, Tenn.	718	100-0	କ୍ଷ	2,8	698	97.2
X	X Cleveland, 0.	1,173	100.0	68	7.6	1 <b>,</b> 084	92.4
XX	XI Chicago, Ill.	2,102	100.0	50	2.4	2,052	97.6
XII	Minneapolis, Minn.	306	0.001	68	12.7	267	87.3
XLIX	Kansas City, Mo.	1,368	100*0	28	2.0	1,340	98.0
XIX	Dallas, Tex.	1,485	100*0	81	5.5	1,404	19 19 19
XX	XV San Francisco, Calif.	745	100.0	ee	A*A	71.2	95.6

Page 13

TABLE D-1-a

# INVESTIGATIONS CONFLETED CLASSIFIED BY TYPE OF ACTION TAKEN December 30, 1939 BY REGIONS

Regloand Offices         Number         Fer Cent         Number         Per Cent         Number         Per Cent           (1)         (2)         (2)         (3)         (4)         (5)         (6)         (7)         (7)           I         Besten, Mass.         87         100.0 $666$ $65.3$ $346$ $34.7$ 10.5         (7)	Regions and	To Inves bifati	Total Invesbifations Completed	Cases Closed by	Type of Settlement	Action Taken Cases 1	en Cases Dropped
(1)         (2)         (3)         (4)         (5)         (6)           TOULIMil RECIONS $1,004$ $100,0$ $656$ $60.5$ $117$ $19$ Boston, Mass. $87$ $100,0$ $670$ $60.5$ $61.5$ $346$ $346$ Boston, Mass. $87$ $100,0$ $70$ $650.5$ $60.5$ $117$ $19$ New York, N. J. $79$ $100,0$ $122$ $78.7$ $33$ $22$ Newark, N. J. $79$ $100,0$ $32$ $78.7$ $33$ $22$ Newark, N. J. $79$ $100,0$ $54$ $81.6$ $40$ $50$ Richmond, Va. $103$ $100,0$ $32$ $33.3$ $26$ $66$ Allanta, C. $39$ $100,0$ $32$ $33.3$ $26$ $66$ Machville, Fenn. $20$ $100,0$ $32$ $33.3$ $26$ $66$ Machville, Pan. $29$ $100,0$ $100,0$ $100,0$	Regional Offices	Number	Fer Cent	Number	Per Cent	Number	Per Cent
TOTALI-MAIL RECTONS $\frac{1.004}{1.0.04}$ $100.0$ $\overline{656}$ $\overline{65.3}$ $\overline{348}$ Boston, Mass. $\overline{67}$ $100.0$ $\overline{70}$ $\overline{80.5}$ $\overline{17}$ Boston, Mass. $\overline{67}$ $100.0$ $\overline{65}$ $\overline{60.2}$ $\overline{63}$ Rew York, N. Y. $106$ $100.0$ $\overline{65}$ $\overline{60.2}$ $\overline{63}$ Philadelphis, Pa. $155$ $100.0$ $122$ $\overline{70.2}$ $\overline{64}$ Newark, N. J. $79$ $100.0$ $122$ $\overline{70.2}$ $\overline{64}$ Nemark, N. J. $79$ $100.0$ $122$ $\overline{70.4}$ $\overline{23}$ Nemark, N. J. $79$ $100.0$ $94$ $91.6$ $\overline{22}$ Riadipau, Jla. $29$ $100.0$ $32$ $\overline{20.0}$ $\overline{26}$ Mathrite, Ga. $\overline{29}$ $100.0$ $\overline{29}$ $\overline{20.0}$ $\overline{20}$ Mathrite, Ga. $\overline{20}$ $\overline{20.0}$ $\overline{20.0}$ $\overline{26}$ $\overline{26}$ Mathrite, Ga. $\overline{20}$ $\overline{20}$ <	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(2)
Boston, Nase. $87$ $130.0$ $70$ $80.5$ $17$ Now York, N. Y. $106$ $100.0$ $65$ $80.2$ $13$ Philadelphia, Pa. $155$ $100.0$ $122$ $70.7$ $33$ Philadelphia, Pa. $155$ $100.0$ $32$ $70.7$ $33$ Nowark, N. J. $77$ $100.0$ $32$ $33.3$ $40$ Riomod, Va. $103$ $100.0$ $12$ $70.7$ $33.3$ Nowark, N. J. $79$ $100.0$ $32$ $50.0$ $33.3$ $26$ Athatta, Ga. $64$ $100.0$ $13$ $33.3$ $33.3$ $26$ Athatta, Ga. $64$ $100.0$ $13$ $33.3$ $33.3$ $26$ Athatta, Ga. $64$ $100.0$ $14$ $70.0$ $32$ Athatta, Ga. $29$ $100.0$ $14$ $70.0$ $30$ Athatta, Ga. $50$ $100.0$ $59$ $66.3$ $30$ Athatta, Ga. $29$ $100.0$ $25$ $64.1$ $11$ Mashrille, Tenn. $39$ $100.0$ $25$ $64.1$ $30$ Athata, Tenn. $39$ $100.0$ $41$ $50.6$ $42.9$ Athata, Tenn. $31$ $100.0$ $12$ $42.9$ $42.9$ Ballas, Tex. $31$ $100.0$ $10$ $10$ $50.6$ $41$ Athata, Tex. $31$ $100.0$ $19$ $100.0$ $19$ $100.0$ $10$ Athata, Tex. $31$ $100.0$ $19$ $100.0$ $19$ $100.0$	TOTAL-ALL REGIONS	1,004	100.0	656	65.3	8	34.7
New York, N. Y.         108         100.0         65         60.2         43           Philadelphia, Pa.         155         100.0         122         78.7         33           Philadelphia, Pa.         155         100.0         39         49.4         40           Richmond, Va.         103         100.0         39         49.4         40           Richmond, Va.         103         100.0         34         31.5         26           Charlotte, N. C.         39         100.0         32         50.0         32           Charlotte, N. C.         39         100.0         32         50.0         32           Atlanta, Ga.         64         100.0         32         50.0         32           Mabrille, Tenn.         20         100.0         14         70.0         6           Nashrille, Tenn.         20         100.0         59         66.3         30           Citredado Ili.         50         66.3         66.3         7           Nilmeapolis, Ninn.         39         100.0         25         64.1         14           Kansas City, Ilo.         28         100.0         26         42.9         40	I Boston, Mass.	87	100.0	Q	80.5	17	19.5
Philadelphia, Pa.         155         100.0         122         78.7         33           Nowark, N. J.         79         100.0         39         49.4         40           Nowark, N. J.         79         100.0         39         49.4         40           Nowark, N. J.         79         100.0         84         81.6         40           Richmond, Va.         103         100.0         84         81.6         40           Charlotte, N. C.         39         100.0         13         33.3         26           Atlanta, Ca.         64         100.0         32         50.0         32           Machville, Tenn.         20         100.0         14         70.0         6           Nashville, Tenn.         20         100.0         59         66.1         7           Nashville, Tenn.         20         100.0         59         66.3         30           Chereland, Ohio         39         100.0         59         66.1         7           Mashville, Tenn.         50         66.1         7         7           Mashville, Tenn.         30         100.0         59         64.1         7           Minnespolis		108	100.0	65	60.2	\$ 0	3 <b>9</b> *8
Newark, N. J.79100.03949.440Riokmond, Va.103100.06481.619Riokmond, Va.39100.01333.326Atlanta, Ga.64100.01350.032Atlanta, Ga.64100.01666.111Birmfingham, Ala.29100.01862.111Nashville, Tenn.20100.01470.06Catevaland, Ohio39100.05966.330Chicago, III.50100.02564.114Kinneapolis, Ninn.39100.02564.114Kansas City, Io.28100.01242.916San Francisco, Cal.33100.01950.640		155	100.0	122	78.7	33	21.3
Richmond, Va.103100.0B481.619Charlotte, N. C.39100.01333.326Atlanta, Ga.64100.03250.032Binmfingham, Ala.29100.01862.111Nashrille, Tenn.20100.01470.06Clereland, Obio39100.05966.330Cheago, III.50100.06366.330Chicago, III.50100.02564.114Kinneapolis, Minn.39100.02564.114San Francisco, Cal.33100.01270.640San Francisco, Cal.33100.01950.640	CV Newark, N. J.	Ŕ	100.0	39	49.4	40	50.6
Charlotte, N. C.       39       100.0       13       33.3       26         Atlanta, Ga.       64       100.0       32       50.0       32         Birmíngham, Ala.       29       100.0       18       62.1       11         Nashville, Tenn.       20       100.0       14       70.0       6         Nashville, Tenn.       20       100.0       59       66.3       30         Clereland, Ohio       39       100.0       59       66.3       30         Chicago, Ill.       50       100.0       25       64.1       14         Ninneapolis, Ninn.       39       100.0       25       64.1       14         Naineapolis, Ninn.       39       100.0       12       42.9       16         San Francisco, Cal.       33       100.0       19       50.6       40	V Richmond, Va.	EOT	100.0	84	81.6	19	18.4
Atlanta, Ga.64100.03250.032Birmfngham, Ala.29100.01862.111Nashville, Tenn.20100.01470.06Nashville, Tenn.20100.05966.330Cleveland, Ohio89100.05966.330Choago, Ill.50100.02564.114Kinneapolis, Ninn.39100.01242.916Mansas City, Io.28100.01242.916Dallas, Tex.81100.01957.640San Francisco, Cal.33100.01957.614		39	100.0	£T	33,3	26	66.7
Birmfußham, Ala.     29     100.0     18     62.1     11       Nashville, Tenn.     20     100.0     14     70.0     6       Clereland, Ohio     89     100.0     59     66.3     30       Chicago, Ill.     50     100.0     43     86.0     7       Kinneapolis, Minn.     39     100.0     25     64.1     14       Kansas City, Io.     28     100.0     12     42.9     16       Dallas, Tex.     81     100.0     19     50.6     40       San Francisco, Cal.     33     100.0     19     57.6     14	II Atlanta, Ga.	64	100.0	32	50.0	32	50.0
Nashville, Tenn.       20       100.0       14       70.0       6         Cleveland, Ohio       89       100.0       59       66.3       30         Chicago, Ill.       50       100.0       43       86.0       7         Kinneapolis, Minn.       39       100.0       25       64.1       14         Kansas City, Ho.       28       100.0       12       42.9       16         Dallas, Tex.       81       100.0       1       50.6       40	II B <b>irmí</b> ngham, Ala.	29	100.0	18	62.1	11	37.9
Clereland, OhioB9100.05956.330Chicago, Ill.50100.04386.07Ninneapolis, Ninn.39100.02564.114Kansas City, No.28100.01242.916Dallas, Tex.81100.04150.640San Francisco, Cal.33100.01957.614	X Nashville, Tenn.	50	100.0	14	0*04	9	30*0
Chicago, Ill.50100.04386.07Minneapolis, Minn.39100.02564.114Kansas City, No.28100.01242.916Dallas, Tex.81100.04150.640San Francisco, Cal.33100.01957.614	X Cleveland, Ohio	68	100.0	23	66•3	ЭO	7.EE
Minneapolis, Minn. 39 100.0 25 54.1 14 Kansas City, No. 28 100.0 12 42.9 16 Dallas, Tex. 81 100.0 41 50.6 40 San Francisco, Cal. 33 100.0 19 57.6 14	Il Chicago, Ill.	20	100.0	মে ক	86.0	7	14.0
Kansas City, No.     28     100.0     12     42.9     16       Dallas, Tex.     91     100.0     41     50.6     40       San Francisco, Cal.     33     100.0     19     57.6     14		66	0*001	25	64.1	14	35.9
B1 100.0 41 50.6 40 , Cal. 33 100.0 19 57.6 14	Kansas City,	28	100.0	12	42.9	16	1.72
33 100.0 19 57.6 14	IV Dallas, Tex.	18	100.0	4	50.6	4	49.4
	XV San Francisco, Cal.	е е	100.0	<b>1</b>	57.6	14	42.4

4

Page 14

(3120)

TABLE D-1-b

# CASES CLOSED BY SETTLEMENT CLASSIFIED BY LETHOL OF CLOSING December 30, 1939 BY REGIONS

Regions and	Total Closed by	Total Cases Closed by Sciilement	Without Legal	Actio	Lethod of Closing an After Le	f After Legal Lotion
Regional Offices (1)	Number (2)	Per Cent (3)	Number (4)	Per Cent (5)	Number (5)	Per Cent (7)
IUTAL-ALL REGIONS	656	100.0	544	82.9	11.2	17.1
I Boston, Mass.	8	100.0	44	62.9	26	37,1
II New York, W. Y.	65	0.001	49	75.4	16	24.6
III Philadelphia, Fa.	122	100.0	112	91.8	10	8.2
IV Newark, N. J.	ŝŝ	100.0	36	92.3	m	7.7
V Richmond, Va.	84	100.0	74	88.1	10	0.11
VI Charlotte, N. C.	13	100.0	4	30.8	6	69.2
VII Atlanta, Ge.	32	100.0	23	71.9	Q	28.1
vIII Birminghan, Aa.	le	100.0	15	8 <b>*</b> 8	m	16.7
IX Nashville, Tenn.	14	0,001	र्भ म्रो	100.0	C	0.0
X Cleveland, Ohio	59	100.0	56	100.0	o	0"0
XI Chicago, Ill.	С <del>т</del>	100.0	28	65.1	15	34.9
XII Minneapolis, Minn.	25	100.0	23	92.0	U3	8.0
XIII Kansas City, Mo.	12	100.0	gener.	58.3	a	41.7
XIV Dallas, Tex.	41	100.0	37	2.09	4	8* Ó
XV San Francisco, Cal.	19	100.0	19	100,0	0	0*0

Page 15

TABLE L-1-C

# CLASSIFIED BY RELISON FOR DROPPING December 3.0, 1939 BY RECIONS

Number         Fer Cent         Number         Per Cent         Number         Per Cent $(2)$ $(3)$ $(4)$ $(5)$ $17$ $100.0$ $12$ $70.6$ $33$ $100.0$ $32$ $74.4$ $33$ $100.0$ $32$ $74.4$ $33$ $100.0$ $32$ $74.4$ $33$ $100.0$ $32$ $74.4$ $33$ $100.0$ $32$ $74.4$ $33$ $100.0$ $35$ $90.0$ $19$ $100.0$ $100.0$ $10$ $52.6$ $11$ $100.0$ $10$ $52.6$ $73.1$ $32$ $100.0$ $10$ $56.3$ $73.1$ $30$ $100.0$ $19$ $66.7$ $66.7$ $11$ $100.0$ $19$ $66.7$ $66.7$ $11$ $100.0$ $19$ $66.7$ $66.7$ $100.0$ $19$ $60.0$ $10$ $66.7$ $100.$	Regions			. 114 1 4	Reason f	Reason for Dropping	
(2)         (3)         (4)         (5)           REGIONS $349$ $100.0$ $233$ $67.0$ s. $17$ $100.0$ $32$ $70.6$ r. $43$ $100.0$ $32$ $74.4$ s. $17$ $100.0$ $32$ $74.4$ s. $33$ $100.0$ $32$ $74.6$ s. $40$ $100.0$ $35$ $90.0$ l. $40$ $100.0$ $35$ $90.0$ l. $19$ $100.0$ $19$ $73.1$ l. $11$ $100.0$ $19$ $73.1$ l. $11$ $100.0$ $19$ $56.3$ l. $11$ $100.0$ $19$ $56.3$ l. $11$ $100.0$ $19$ $56.3$ l. $100.0$ $19$ $60.7$ $56.3$ l. $100.0$ $19$ $100.0$ $19$ $56.3$ l. $100.0$	and Regional Offices	Number Car	ses uroppea Per Cent	Number	DR DISCLOSED Per Cent	Finn Not Cov Number	Firm Not Covered by the Act Number Per Cent
BGCIONS         348         100.0         233         67.0           x         17         100.0         12         70.6           x         43         100.0         32         74.4           x         33         100.0         23         69.7           x         40         100.0         23         69.7           x         40         100.0         35         90.0           x         26         100.0         10         52.6           x         32         100.0         10         53.3           x         5         100.0         10         56.3           x         7         100.0         10         56.3           x         7         10         56.3         56.3           x         7         56.3         56.3           x         7         10         56.3           x         10         100.0         19	(1)	(2)	(8)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(2)
a.       17 $100.0$ 12 $70.6$ $Y$ 43 $100.0$ 32 $74.4$ $y$ $23$ $100.0$ $32$ $74.4$ $J$ $40$ $100.0$ $35$ $90.0$ $J$ $19$ $100.0$ $35$ $90.0$ $J$ $19$ $100.0$ $36$ $90.0$ $J$ $100.0$ $100.0$ $10$ $52.6$ $J$ $100.0$ $100.0$ $10$ $56.3$ $J$ $100.0$ $19$ $56.3$ $73.1$ $J$ $100.0$ $19$ $56.3$ $56.3$ $J$ $100.0$ $19$ $6$ $65.7$ $J$ $100.0$ $100.0$ </td <td></td> <td>348</td> <td>100.0</td> <td>233</td> <td>67.0</td> <td>115</td> <td>33.0</td>		348	100.0	233	67.0	115	33.0
Y. $43$ $100.0$ $32$ $74.4$ J.Pa. $33$ $100.0$ $33$ $69.7$ J. $40$ $100.0$ $35$ $90.0$ J. $19$ $100.0$ $35$ $90.0$ J. $26$ $100.0$ $19$ $52.6$ J. $32$ $100.0$ $19$ $73.1$ J. $32$ $100.0$ $19$ $56.3$ J. $11$ $100.0$ $19$ $56.3$ J. $11$ $100.0$ $19$ $65.7$ J. $11$ $100.0$ $19$ $63.3$ $1.$ $7$ $100.0$ $19$ $63.3$ $1.$ $100.0$ $19$ $63.3$ $1.$ $100.0$ $19$ $63.3$ $1.$ $100.0$ $19$ $63.3$ $1.$ $100.0$ $21$ $50.0$ $1.$ $100.0$ $21$ $50.3$ $2.1$ $100.0$ $21$ $50.3$ $2.1$ $100.0$ $21$ $50.3$ $2.1$ $92.9$ $92.3$	I Boston, Mass.	17	100.0	12	70.6	IJ	29.4
$\lambda_{1}$ Pa.       33       100.0       23       69.7 $J_{1}$ $40$ 100.0       35       90.0 $h$ $19$ 100.0 $35$ 90.0 $h$ $19$ 100.0 $10$ $52.6$ $h$ $32$ 100.0 $19$ $73.1$ $h$ $32$ 100.0 $19$ $73.1$ $\mu$ $100.0$ $19$ $56.3$ $\mu$ $100.0$ $19$ $56.3$ $\mu$ $100.0$ $19$ $56.3$ $\mu$ $100.0$ $19$ $65.7$ $\mu$ $100.0$ $19$ $65.3$ $\mu$ $100.0$ $19$ $56.3$ $\mu$ $100.0$ $9$	II New York, N. Y.	43	0.001	32	74.4	11	25.6
1.       40 $100.0$ 35       90.0         1.       19 $100.0$ 10       52.6         1.       26 $100.0$ 19       73.1         1.       32 $100.0$ 18       56.3         1.       32 $100.0$ 18       56.3         1.       1 $100.0$ 18       56.3         1.       30 $100.0$ 4 $36.4$ bhia       30 $100.0$ 19 $63.3$ 0.1       7 $100.0$ 19 $63.3$ 1.       7 $100.0$ 19 $63.3$ 1.       7 $100.0$ 19 $63.3$ 1.       7 $100.0$ 19 $63.3$ 1.       100.0       19 $65.7$ $70.0$ 1. $100.0$ $7$ $50.0$ $70.0$ 1. $100.0$ $9$ $50.3$ $70.0$ 1. $100.0$ $21$ $50.3$ $50.3$ $1.0.0.0$ $21$ $50.0$ $50.3$ $1.0.0.0$	III Philadclphia, Pa.	ЭЭ Э	0*001	23	69.7	IO	30.3
$\mathbf{i}$ 19       100.0       10       52.6 $\mathbf{i}$ $26$ 100.0       19       73.1 $\mathbf{M}$ $32$ 100.0       18       56.3 $\mathbf{M}$ $11$ 100.0       4       36.4 $\mathbf{M}$ $6$ 100.0       4       56.3 $\mathbf{M}$ $6$ 100.0       19       63.3 $\mathbf{M}$ $30$ 100.0       19       63.3 $\mathbf{M}$ $30$ 100.0       19       63.3 $\mathbf{M}$ $100$ $10$ 6       85.7 $\mathbf{M}$ $100$ $10$ $10$ 63.3 $\mathbf{M}$ $100.0$ $10$ $10$ 65.3 $\mathbf{M}$ $100.0$ $7$ $50.0$ $95.3$ $\mathbf{M}$ $100.0$ $21$ $52.5$ $50.3$ $\mathbf{M}$ $100.0$ $21$ $52.5$ $92.9$		40	100.0	36	0.02	4	10.0
$i. c.$ $26$ $100.0$ $19$ $73.1$ . $32$ $100.0$ $18$ $56.3$ $\mu ts.$ $11$ $100.0$ $4$ $36.4$ $\mu ts.$ $6$ $100.0$ $4$ $66.7$ $hia$ $30$ $100.0$ $19$ $63.3$ $him.$ $14$ $100.0$ $19$ $63.3$ $i.$ $7$ $100.0$ $19$ $63.3$ $i.$ $7$ $100.0$ $9$ $50.0$ $i.$ $16$ $100.0$ $7$ $50.0$ $i.$ $i.$ $100.0$ $21$ $52.5$ $h.$ $h.$ $100.0$ $21$ $52.5$ $h.$ $h.$ $100.0$ $21$ $52.5$	V Richmond, Va.	бT	100,0	TO	52.6	6	47.4
. $32$ $100.0$ $18$ $56.3$ <b>M18.</b> $11$ $100.0$ $4$ $36.4$ Rem. $6$ $100.0$ $4$ $36.4$ Dito $30$ $100.0$ $4$ $66.7$ $0.10$ $30$ $100.0$ $19$ $63.3$ $1.$ $7$ $100.0$ $19$ $63.3$ $1.$ $7$ $100.0$ $7$ $50.0$ $1.$ $100.0$ $7$ $50.0$ $1.$ $100.0$ $7$ $50.3$ $1.$ $100.0$ $21$ $50.3$ $1.0.0$ $21$ $50.3$ $50.3$ $1.0.0$ $21$ $52.5$ $50.3$	VI Charlotte, N. C.	26	100.0	57	73.1	7	26.9
$M_{14}$ .     11     100.0     4     36.4       Rem.     6     100.0     4     66.7       bhio     30     100.0     19     63.3       bhio     30     100.0     19     63.3       lim.     14     100.0     7     50.0       , him.     14     100.0     9     56.3       , io.     16     100.0     21     52.5 $M_{10}$ 100.0     21     52.5	III Atlanta, Ga.	32	0*001	18	56.3	14	43.7
Maeinville, Tenn.       6       133.0       4       66.7         Cleveland, Ohio       30       100.0       19       63.3         Chicago, Ill.       7       100.0       6       85.7         Ainmeapolis, Film.       14       100.0       7       50.0         Mansas City, No.       16       100.0       9       56.3         Dallas, Tex.       40       100.0       21       52.5		TI	100.0	4	36.4	7	63.6
Cleveland, Ohio     30     100.0     19     63.3       Chicago, Ill.     7     100.0     6     85.7       Ainneapolis, hinn.     14     100.0     7     50.0       Mansas City, ho.     16     100.0     9     56.3       Pallas, Tex.     40     100.0     21     52.5		Q,	1.00.0	4	66.7	2	33 <b>•</b> 3
Chicago, Ill.     7     100.0     6     85.7       Ainneapolis, Ninn.     14     100.0     7     50.0       Ainneapolis, Nin.     16     100.0     9     56.3       Mansas City, No.     16     100.0     21     52.5       Dallas, Tex.     70     100.0     13     92.9		30	100.0	19	63.3	11	36.7
Minnempolis, Minn. 14 100.0 7 50.0 Kansas City, No. 16 100.0 9 56.3 Dallas, Tex. 40 100.0 21 52.5 con Francisco Col. 14 100.0 13 92.9	XI Chicago, Ill.	r.	100.0	Q	85.7	<b>1</b>	14.3
Kansas City, No. 16 100.0 9 50.3 Dallas, Tex. 40 100.0 21 52.5 con Francisco Fol. 14 100.0 13 92.9	Alnneapolís,	14	100.0	7	50.0	7	50.0
. 40 100.0 Z1 52.5	Kansas City,	16	0*001	6	56.3	t	43.7
92,9 92,9	(IV Dallas, Tex.	4	100,0	51	52.5	51	47.5
	XV San Francisco, Cal.	74	00*001	ET	92.9	pad	T*2

.

Page 16

T.BLE E-1

**43** 

٠

# MALYSIS OF RESTITUTION SECURED AND NUMBER OF PERSONS RECITIVING PARAENTS OF BACK W.GES December 30, 1939 BY REGIONS

Re	Regions and Regional Offices (1)	Cases Closed By Settlement (2)	Employees Receiving Pay- ments of Back Wages	Amount of Restitution (4)	Average Amount of Restitution Per Case (5)	Average Amount of Restitution Per Employee (6)
	TOPAL-ALL REGIONS	656	22,565	<b>\$</b> 426 <b>,</b> 048 <b>,</b> 43	\$649.46	\$18,88
н	I Boston, Mass.	70	t, 393	41,861,53	598 <b>4</b> 02	9.53
Ħ	II New York, N. Y.	65	1,847	29,561.75	454.80	16.01
III	Philadelphia, Pa.	122	2,562	26,850,06	220 <b>-</b> 08	10.48
ΛI	Newark, N. J.	бЕ Е	1,234	13,353.10	342.39	10,82
V	V Richmond, Va.	84	4 <b>,</b> 399	50,105,40	596.49	11,39
IV	VI Charlotte, N. C.	e T	192	5,659.60	435,35	29.48
IIV	VII itlanta, Ga.	32	2,102	45 <b>,58</b> 0 <b>,</b> 33	1,424,39	21.68
<b>LILV</b>	VIII Birmingham, Ala.	18	1,133	18,273,78	1,015.21	16.13
Ä	IX Nashville, Tenn.	14	524	8,534,45	609.60	16,29
Х	X Cleveland, O.	59	1,333	15,577.13	264.02	11.69
ТX	XI Chicago, Ill.	64	1,631	143,523,29	3, 337.75	38 <b>,</b> 00
XIX	XII Minneapolis, Minn.	25	206	5,617,67	224.71	27,27
XIII	XIII Kansas City, Mo.	12	265	5,731,34	477.61	21,63
VIX	Dallas, Tex.	41	272	7,703,25	187 <b>.</b> 89	28+32
XX	San Francisco, Cal.	19	472	8,115,75	427.14	17.19

~1	1
ş	Ĺ
ţ.r.,	ŧ
	ľ
Ē	1
E-	ł

١.,

٩

a +\*\* 🗡

### STATUS OF CASES ON WHICH LEGAL ACTION HAS BEEN TAKEN December 30, 1939 BY REGIONS

R Regi	Regions and Regional Offices	Total Case In- vestigations on Which Legal Action Tas Been	Case Investi Ha	Case Investigations on Which Legal Action Has Been Completed	Legal Action	Case Investiga- tions Fending Court Decision
		Instituted	Total	Civil Action	Legal	
		(2)	(3)	(4)	(2)	(2)
Ĥ	TOTAL ALE REGIONS	148	114 T/	74 77	<b>5</b>	34
H H H	I Boston, Mass.	29	26	Ę	ГЗ	(T)
иЦ	New York, N. Y.	12	16	OT	Ŷ	ŝ
III P	Philadelphia, Pa.	13	U.	4	(r;	ო
IV N	Newark, N. J.	Q	m	N	-H	m
V R	V Richmond, Va.	T	10	03	C3	r-1
VI G	VI Charlotte, N. C.	72	ΤΊ	4	4	~
VII Y	VII Atlanta, Ga.	18	თ	7	5	æ
A IIIV	VIII Birmíngham, Ala.	ŝ	m	(4)	0	63
х М	IX Nashville, Tenn.	fT)	o	0	0	m
N X	X Cleveland, Ohio	~1	¢	0	0	Ч
C IX	XI Chicago, Ill.	17	15	10	Q	0
M TIX	Minneapolis, Minn.	ŝ	2	N	0	0
X LILX	Kansas City, Mo.	Q	ŝ	m	2	rH
d VIX	Dallas, Tex.	4	Ŧ	2	5	O
XV S	XV San Francisco, Cal.	0	С	0	0	

Page 18

Includes two cases in mushing injunction was denied.

 $\overline{}$