641 Washington Street, New York, New York. September 18, 1939.

Irving J. Levy.
Assistant General Counsel.

Edward J. Fruchtman, Attorney:

Irving O. Roses Harry Edelman.

## 1. Irving O. Rosen

As you know, today was the date set for Rosen to file motion poers. Of course, as the result of Burwitz's withdrawal from the case no papers were filed. When the case was called this morning, Rosen appeared on his own behalf and told the court that his lawer had withdrawn from the case and that he wanted additional time to look for another at oney. The judge set the case for trial on September 26, 1939. I think that if Mr. The mode intends to try the case he ought to return to New York at once.

After Rosen and I came out of the courtroom, I tried to
pump him as to the reasons for Eurett's withdrawal.

Rosen professed to be very incensed at Eurett. Claiming
that hursts had made him his dume, unted to use him as
a minea pig, and expected to ot a large fee and enhance
his own reputation at Rosen's expense. Rosen said that
hursts had been to see a well known lawyer in New York
about the matter and that the lawyer had asked a ten thrusand
dellar fee of which Eureits was to get ten percent. In addition, Euret, wanted to baild up a war chest to fight the
matter through by getting together all employers who hired
homeworkers and tax them one dellar per homeworker employed.
This, of course, never went through. I asked Rosen who the
well known lawyer approached by Eureits was and he teld me
it was George Medalie.

Rosen says he still does not want to fight the case and is willing to settle on any terms which he can possibly meet but that he thinks you are too inflexible and hard on him. If course I told him that the final word was up to you and that I had nothing to suggest to him. He volunteered the information that he was going to take another trip to Washington to see you.

take of Rosen's Jobber, Columbia Mills, and make them

14-1115

pay up. I am not ours whether he is honestly unawars of the fact that Columbia Mills has agreed to make partial restitution or whether he was just playing dumb to see what reaction he could get out of me. I just let his remark go by without saying anything.

## 2. Harry Edelman

Melman has been a very clusive creature these last few days. I called his home last Friday in order to advice him that an Information would be filed against him this morning. In his absence, I told his wife to have him call me back the following morning without fail. When he omitted to 'phone the next day, I tried to get in touch with him again and his wife said she had delivered my nessage and she did not know why he had not called. I called him again this morning but didn't get him in. I told his wife it was ossential he get in touch with me because we were going to file a criminal Information against him. She told me she would be mure to let him know and have him communicate with us. As of four o'clock this afternoon he has failed to do so. I filed the Information this morning and Vednesday, Deptember 30, 1939, is not as the date on which he is to plead. The carehal will get in touch with him today or tomorrow and I anticipate that he will come in at once and that there will be no difficulty in having his onter a milty plas. Hr. Allen of the Children's Bureau came up this morning with the papers charging violation of the child labor provisions of the Act. I shall have Edelman sign these papers too and file them at once.

I am a till holding the consent papers signed by Alvin Cord and Tassel Company and Columbia Mills until advised by you to file them.

JF: Had

Edward J. Fruchtman.

		Initials
FILE	COPY	5/2 (4-H15